Hunter Valley Operations

Community Consultative Committee

Business Papers – May 2020

1

Materials ahead of meeting of the committee on 20 May 2020

Contents page

1.0 Complaints	3
2.0 Incidents	4
3.0 Community Sponsorship and Events	9
4.0 Environmental monitoring	10
January 2020	10
February 2020	10
5.0 Environmental Documents	11

1.0 Complaints

No complaints have been received for 2020 to date.

2.0 Incidents



Incident overview for 2020 YTD

Date	Details	Key Actions	Aspect
09/01/2020	PM10 High Volume Air Sampler (HVAS) at the Hunter Valley Glider Club site failed to run for the full 24 hour period only recording for a total of 20 hours.	The HVAS was investigated by HVO's environmental contractor with no faults found with the unit. Further investigation found that the miscapture was likely due to a local power outage. DPIE were notified with an incident report submitted on 5 February.	Dust
15/01/2020	The TSP HVAS at the Warkworth site failed to run for the full 24 hour period only recording for a total of 18.6 hours.	The HVAS was investigated by HVO's environmental contractor with no faults found with the unit. Further investigation found that the miscapture was likely due to a local power outage. DPIE were notified with an incident report submitted on 5 February.	Dust
16/01/2020	Pump 217 failed in the drill area at the south end of 306 dig, spilling approximately 100L of oil on the ground.	Contaminated soil from the spill was removed and taken to the onsite bioremediation area for treatment.	Hydrocarbon
19/01/2020	A hydraulic hose failed on Dozer 504 after it was unloaded from a float near the South workshop wash pad, spilling approximately 100L of oil onto the ground.	The dozer was parked up and absorbent matting used to clean up the spill.	Hydrocarbon
21/01/2020	A diesel spill occurred while an operator was refuelling Truck 422 at the West Pit Howick fuel farm. The operator did not notice that the breather on the fuel tank had become blocked with mud and continued refuelling, resulting in 100-150 litres of diesel being spilled onto the ground.	The operator ceased refuelling and reported the spill. The contaminated soil was contained and taken to the onsite bioremediation area for treatment.	Hydrocarbon
21/01/2020	The TSP HVAS at the Warkworth site failed to run for the full 24 hour period only recording for a total of 18.6 hours.	The HVAS was investigated by HVO's environmental contractor with no faults found with the unit. Further investigation found that the miscapture was likely due to a	Dust

		local power outage. DPIE were notified with an incident report submitted on 5 February.	
25/01/2020	A hydraulic hose failed on Excavator 312 whilst in operation spilling approximately 100L of oil onto the ground.	The excavator was parked up and the spill contained and the contaminated soil taken to the onsite bioremediation area for treatment.	Hydrocarbon
11/02/2020	985 Fuel Cart had an overflow of diesel due to the inspection cover not being fully closed when repairs were completed which caused approximately 40L of diesel to spill onto the floor of the South Fuel Facility Bunded Area.	The Supervisor was advised and a spill kit was deployed to contain spill.	Hydrocarbon
22/02/2020	Excavator 310 operator identified an oil leak while working in the West Pit Upper Liddell Coal Bench with approximately 50L of oil spilled.	The excavator was shut down and the oil spill was contained to the bench. The contaminated material was taken to the site bioremediation facility.	Hydrocarbon
04/03/2020	A road haul truck tipping at the Newdell Dump Hopper leaked approximately 25 litres of oil when a hydraulic oil hose failed.	The truck was isolated, the spill was contained and cleaned up.	Hydrocarbon
13/03/2020	Haul Truck 408 spilled approximately 400 litres of oil on the dig bench due to a failure of the hoist filter.	The leak was repaired and the contaminated material was taken to the bioremediation pad.	Hydrocarbon
26/03/2020	Approximately 30 litres of diesel fuel leaked along the road while a generator was being transported from the old field building to the new Lemington South Maintenance offices.	The leak was isolated and absorbent matting used to clean up the spill.	Hydrocarbon
29/03/2020	A road haul truck tipping at the Newdell Dump Hopper leaked approximately 100 litres of oil when a hydraulic oil hose failed.	The truck was isolated, the spill was contained and cleaned up.	Hydrocarbon
04/04/2020	Drill operator was operating at the south end of West Pit where he proceeded to drill a	The machine was shut down and the spill taken to the bioremediation area.	Hydrocarbon

	hole when the drill lost		
	hydraulic pressure resulting in		
	50L of oil being spilled.		
08/04/2020	Excavator operator was	The spill was contained and	Hydrocarbon
	loading truck 485 when the	contaminated material moved	
	hydraulic block hit the back of	to bioremediation pad.	
	the truck causing		
	approximately 300L of oil to		
	spill.		
14/04/2020	Loader 651 blew the main	The spill was contained and	Hydrocarbon
	discharge line from the	contaminated material moved	
	hydraulic tank due to a	to bioremediation pad.	
	mechanical failure, causing		
	approximately 200 litres of oil		
	to spill under the machine.		
14/04/2020	I ruck 415 operator identified	The operator stopped the	Hydrocarbon
	a hydraulic leak causing	with an earthen bund and	
	approximately 600L of oil to	taken to the bioremediation	
	spill from the hydraulic tank.	area.	
22/04/2020	A B double truck was side	Absorbent sand was spread	Hydrocarbon
	tipping, when a spray of	over the spill which was	
	hydraulic oil was identified,	of the dump hopper	
	causing approximately 20L of	or the dump hopper.	
	oil to spill.		
26/04/2020	The TSP HVAS at the	The unit was sent for repairs	Dust
	Cheshunt East site failed to	to confirm any faults and a bire upit was used in the	
	run for a full 24hr period. A	interim.	
	reason for the run failure was		
	unable to be determined. The		
	adjacent PM10 HVAS ran		
	time period indicating power		
	time period indicating power		
	supply was not the cause and		
	the timer pattings, clock and		
	rup schodulos woro chockad		
	and found to be permal. The		
	unit was test-run on the 27		
	April and operated without		
	issue		
28/04/2020	Approx. 30L of fuel leaked	The valve was closed and	Hydrocarbon
	from a Skid pump remote	stem nut was tightened. A	
	tank valve.	replacement valve is being	
		sourced. The contaminated	
		material was cleaned up and	

	taken to the bioremediation	
	area.	

3.0 Community Sponsorship and Events

Round two of the 2020 Community Grants Program opened in April 2020 with 12 applications received which are currently being reviewed.

4.0 Environmental monitoring

Monthly summaries of environmental monitoring; January and February 2020

January 2020 Attached as Appendix A

February 2020 Attached as Appendix B

5.0 Environmental Documents

Environmental documents uploaded to the HVO Insite website since the last meeting (https://insite.hvo.com.au/)

24/2/2020	Hunter Valley Operations Community Consultative Committee
	Presentation February 2020
24/2/2020	Hunter Valley Operations Community Consultative Committee Business Papers February 2020
4/3/2020	HVO Community Consultative Committee Minutes November 2019
13/3/2020	Hunter Valley Operations Monthly Environmental Monitoring Report January 2020
23/3/2020	Hunter Valley Operations Environment Protection Licence 640 Monitoring Data January 2020
23/3/2020	Hunter Valley Operations Environment Protection Licence 640 Monitoring Data February 2020
26/3/2020	Hunter Valley Operations Monthly Environmental Monitoring Report February 2020
6/4/2020	Hunter Valley Operations Community Consultative Committee Minutes February 2020
17/4/2020	Hunter Valley Operations Environment Protection Licence 640 Monitoring Data March 2020

HUNTER VALLEY OPERATIONS

Monthly Environmental Monitoring Report

January 2020

CONTENTS

1.0 2.0	INTRODUCTION AIR QUALITY	4 4
2.1	Meteorological Monitoring	4
2	2.1.1 Rainfall	4
2.3	Suspended Particulates	7
2	2.3.1 HVAS PM ₁₀ Results	8
2	2.3.3 Real Time PM10 Results	11
2	2.3.4 Real Time Alarms for Air Quality	
3.0	WATER QUALITY	18
3.1	Surface Water	
3.2	Site Water Use	
3.3	HRSTS Discharge	18
3.4	Groundwater Monitoring Results	18
4.0	BLASTING	19
4.1	Blast Monitoring Results	19
5.0	NOISE	22
5.1	Attended Noise Monitoring Results	22
5.2	NPfI Low Frequency Assessment	27
6.0	OPERATIONAL DOWNTIME	29
7.0	REHABILITATION	29
8.0	COMPLAINTS	30
9.0	ENVIRONMENTAL INCIDENTS	30
Apper	ndix A: Meteorological Data	

FIGURES

Figure 2: HVO Corporate Wind Rose – January 2020	5
Figure 3: HVO Cheshunt Wind Rose – January 2020	5
Figure 4: Air Quality Monitoring Location Plan	5
Figure 5: Depositional Dust Results – January 2020	7
Figure 6: Individual PM ₁₀ Results – January 2020	B
Figure 7: Real Time PM10 24Hr Average and YTD Average – January 2020	2
Figure 8: Overpressure Blast Monitoring Results – January 2020 19	9
Figure 9: Ground Vibration Blast Monitoring Results – January 2020	D
Figure 10: Blast Monitoring Location Plan	1
Figure 11: Noise Monitoring Location Plan	B
Figure 12: Operational Downtime by Equipment Type – January 2020	9
Figure 13: Rehabilitation YTD – January 2020	9

TABLES

Table 1: Rainfall data – January 2020	4
Table 2: PM10 HVAS Investigation Results	10
Table 3: Real-time PM10 TEOM Investigation Results	
Table 4: Blasting Criteria	19
Table 5: LAeg, 15 minute HVO South - Impact Assessment Criteria – January 2020	22
Table 6: LA1, 1 minute HVO South - Impact Assessment Criteria – January 2020	23
Table 7: LAeq, 15 minute HVO North – Impact Assessment Criteria – January 2020	24
Table 8: LAeq,15 minute HVO North - Land Acquisition Criteria – January 2020	25
Table 9: LA1, 1 Minute HVO North - Impact Assessment Criteria – January 2020	
Table 10: Low Frequency Noise Assessment – January 2020	
Table 11: Complaints Summary YTD 2020	30
Table 12: Meteorological Data - HVO Corporate Meteorological Station – January 2020	

1.0 INTRODUCTION

This report has been compiled to provide a monthly summary of environmental monitoring results for Hunter Valley Operations (HVO). This report includes all monitoring data collected for the period 1 January to 31 January 2020.

2.0 AIR QUALITY

2.1 Meteorological Monitoring

HVO maintains two meteorological stations; 'HVO Corporate' and 'Cheshunt' (Refer to Figure 4: Air Quality Monitoring Location Plan).

2.1.1 Rainfall

Rainfall for the period is summarised in Table 1, the 2020 trend and historical trend are shown in Figure 1.

Table 1: Rainfall data – January 2020



Figure 1: Rainfall Summary 2020

2.1.2 Wind Speed and Direction

Easterly and South Easterly winds were dominant during January as shown in Figure 2 (HVO Corporate) and Figure 3 (HVO Cheshunt).



Figure 2: HVO Corporate Wind Rose – January 2020



Figure 3: HVO Cheshunt Wind Rose – January 2020



Figure 4: Air Quality Monitoring Location Plan

2.2 Depositional Dust

To monitor regional air quality, HVO operates and maintains a network of nine depositional dust gauges, situated on private and mine owned land surrounding HVO.

Figure 5 displays insoluble solids results from depositional dust gauges during the reporting period compared against the annual impact assessment criteria.

During the reporting period the DL30, D118, D119, DL21and Warkworth monitors recorded a monthly result above the long term impact assessment criteria of 4.0 g/m² per month. Regional bushfire smoke haze and related ash deposition is likely to have contributed to elevated dust deposition results over the exposure period.

An assessment of HVO's contribution against the long term impact assessment criteria will be provided in the 2020 Annual Review.



Depositional Dust Records

Figure 5: Depositional Dust Results – January 2020

2.3 Suspended Particulates

Suspended particulates are measured by a network of High Volume Air Samplers (HVAS) measuring Total Suspended Particulates (TSP) and Particulate Matter <10µm (PM₁₀). The location of these monitors can be found in Figure 4. Each HVAS was run for 24 hours on a six-day cycle.

2.3.1 HVAS PM₁₀ Results

Figure 6 shows individual PM_{10} results at each monitoring station against the short term impact assessment criteria of 50 μ g/m³.

An assessment of HVO's contribution against the long term impact assessment criteria will be provided in the 2020 Annual Review.



High Volume Air Sampler Records

Figure 6: Individual PM₁₀ Results – January 2020

During the reporting period all monitors recorded an exceedance above the short term impact assessment criteria of $50 \ \mu g/m^3$. Results of the investigations are presented in

Table 2.

Date	Site	Consent	Total	Estimated	Discussion
			Measured	contribution	
			Result	from HVO	
			(µg/m3)	(µg/m3)	
3/01/2020	Kilburnie South	North	79	12	An investigation determined HVO North's maximum potential contribution to be in the order of 12.0µg/m3 based on prevailing wind conditions. This day was deemed to be an 'extraordinary event', affected by regional bushfire smoke.
3/01/2020	Kilburnie South	South	79	NA	HVO South was not a significant contributor given that wind direction during the 24 hour period was only within the arc of influence for 13.9% of the period. This day was deemed to be an 'extraordinary event', affected by regional bushfire smoke.
3/01/2020	Gliding Club	South	67	25	An investigation determined HVO South's maximum potential contribution to be in the order of 25.0µg/m3 based on prevailing wind conditions. This day was deemed to be an 'extraordinary event', affected by regional bushfire smoke.
9/01/2020	Kilburnie South	North	64	N/A	HVO North was not a significant contributor given that wind direction during the 24 hour period was only within the arc of influence for 0.0% of the period.
9/01/2020	Kilburnie South	South	64	N/A	HVO South was not a significant contributor given that wind direction during the 24 hour period was only within the arc of influence for 1.4% of the period.
15/01/2020	Kilburnie South	North	56	N/A	HVO North was not a significant contributor given that wind direction during the 24 hour period was only within the arc of influence for 8.3% of the period. This day was deemed to be an 'extraordinary event', affected by regional bushfire smoke.
15/01/2020	Kilburnie South	South	56	N/A	HVO South was not a significant contributor given that wind direction during the 24 hour period was only within the arc of influence for 15.3% of the period. This day was deemed to be an 'extraordinary event', affected by regional bushfire smoke.
21/01/2020	Glider Club	South	59	9	An investigation determined HVO South's maximum potential contribution to be in the order of 9.0µg/m3 based on prevailing wind conditions. This day was

Table 2: PM10 HVAS Investigation Results

Date	Site	Consent	Total Measured Result (µg/m3)	Estimated contribution from HVO (µg/m3)	Discussion
					deemed to be an 'extraordinary event', affected by regional bushfire smoke.
21/01/2020	Long Point	South	51	1	An investigation determined HVO South's maximum potential contribution to be in the order of 1.0µg/m3 based on prevailing wind conditions. This day was deemed to be an 'extraordinary event', affected by regional bushfire smoke.
21/01/2020	Cheshunt East	North	61	11	An investigation determined HVO North's maximum potential contribution to be in the order of 11.0µg/m3 based on prevailing wind conditions. This day was deemed to be an 'extraordinary event', affected by regional bushfire smoke.
21/01/2020	Cheshunt East	South	61	N/A	HVO South was not a significant contributor given that wind direction during the 24 hour period was only within the arc of influence for 6.3% of the period. This day was deemed to be an 'extraordinary event', affected by regional bushfire smoke.
27/01/2020	Kilburnie South	North	52	NA	HVO North was not a significant contributor given that wind direction during the 24 hour period was only within the arc of influence for 0.0% of the period.
27/01/2020	Kilburnie South	South	52	NA	HVO South was not a significant contributor given that wind direction during the 24 hour period was only within the arc of influence for 11.1% of the period.

2.3.3 Real Time PM10 Results

Hunter Valley Operations maintains a network of real time PM_{10} monitors. The real time air quality monitoring stations continuously log information and transmit data to a central database, generating alarms when particulate matter levels exceed internal trigger limits. Results from real time PM_{10} monitoring are used as a reactive measure to guide mining operations to help achieve compliance with the relevant conditions of the project approval.

Results for real time dust sampling is shown in Figure 7 including the daily 24 hour average PM10 result and the year to date 24 hour PM_{10} annual average.



Figure 7: Real Time PM10 24Hr Average and YTD Average – January 2020

During the reporting period, the Maison Dieu, Knodlers Lane, Jerrys Plains and Warkworth monitors exceeded the daily 24 hour average PM10 result ($50\mu g/m^3$). Results of the investigations are presented in Table 3.

Date	Site	Total Measured Result (μg/m3)	Estimated contribution from HVO (µg/m3)	Discussion
01/01/2020	Jerrys Plains South	126.9	23.8	An investigation determined HVO maximum potential contribution to be in the order of 23.8ug/m3 based on prevailing wind conditions. This day was deemed to be an 'extraordinary event', affected by regional bushfire smoke.
01/01/2020	Jerrys Plains North	126.9	14.7	An investigation determined HVO maximum potential contribution to be in the order of 14.7ug/m3 based on prevailing wind conditions. This day was deemed to be an 'extraordinary event', affected by regional bushfire smoke.
01/01/2020	Knodlers Lane	114.1	-19.2	An investigation determined HVO maximum potential contribution to be in the order of - 19.2ug/m3 based on prevailing wind conditions. This day was deemed to be an 'extraordinary event', affected by regional bushfire smoke.
01/01/2020	Maison Dieu	92.1	0	HVO was not a significant contributor given that wind direction during the 24 hour period was not within the arc of influence. This day was deemed

|--|

Date	Site	Total Measured Result (µg/m3)	Estimated contribution from HVO (µg/m3)	Discussion		
				to be an 'extraordinary event', affected by regional bushfire smoke.		
01/01/2020	Wandewoi	113.4	10	An investigation determined HVO maximum potential contribution to be in the order of 10.0ug/m3 based on prevailing wind conditions. This day was deemed to be an 'extraordinary event', affected by regional bushfire smoke.		
01/01/2020	Warkworth	104	0	HVO was not a significant contributor given that wind direction during the 24 hour period was not within the arc of influence. This day was deemed to be an 'extraordinary event', affected by regional bushfire smoke.		
02/01/2020	Jerrys Plains South	51.7	-2.6	An investigation determined HVO maximum potential contribution to be in the order of - 2.6ug/m3 based on prevailing wind conditions This day was deemed to be an 'extraordinary event', affected by regional bushfire smoke		
02/01/2020	Jerrys Plain North	51.7	8.1	An investigation determined HVO maximum potential contribution to be in the order of 8.1ug/m3 based on prevailing wind conditions. This day was deemed to be an 'extraordinary event', affected by regional bushfire smoke		
02/01/2020	Knodlers Lane	52.2	0.5	An investigation determined HVO maximum potential contribution to be in the order of 0.5ug/m3 based on prevailing wind conditions. This day was deemed to be an 'extraordinary event', affected by regional bushfire smoke.		
02/01/2020	Maison Dieu	52.9	0	HVO was not a significant contributor given that wind direction during the 24 hour period was not within the arc of influence. This day was deemed to be an 'extraordinary event', affected by regional bushfire smoke		
02/01/2020	Wandewoi	40.3	-13.9	An investigation determined HVO maximum potential contribution to be in the order of - 13.9ug/m3 based on prevailing wind conditions. This day was deemed to be an 'extraordinary event', affected by regional bushfire smoke		
02/01/2020	Warkworth	57.6	0	HVO was not a significant contributor given that wind direction during the 24 hour period was not within the arc of influence. 2 January appeared to be affected by regional bushfire smoke, the results are deemed affected by an extraordinary event.		
03/01/2020	Warkworth	54.4	0.4	An investigation determined HVO maximum potential contribution to be in the order of 0.4ug/m3 based on prevailing wind conditions.		

Date	Site	Total Measured Result (µg/m3)	Estimated contribution from HVO (µg/m3)	Discussion
04/01/2020	Jerrys Plain South	66.9	0.6	An investigation determined HVO maximum potential contribution to be in the order of 0.6ug/m3 based on prevailing wind conditions.
04/01/2020	Jerrys Plain North	66.9	8.3	An investigation determined HVO maximum potential contribution to be in the order of 8.3ug/m3 based on prevailing wind conditions.
04/01/2020	Maison Dieu	80.8	20.8	An investigation determined HVO maximum potential contribution to be in the order of 20.8ug/m3 based on prevailing wind conditions.
04/01/2020	Warkworth	70.9	41.1	An investigation determined HVO maximum potential contribution to be in the order of 41.1ug/m3 based on prevailing wind conditions.
05/01/2020	Jerrys Plains South	136.5	53.5	An investigation determined HVO maximum potential contribution to be in the order of 53.5ug/m3 based on prevailing wind conditions. No upwind monitors available for investigation due to average wind direction.
05/01/2020	Jerrys Plain North	136.5	24.8	An investigation determined HVO maximum potential contribution to be in the order of 24.8ug/m3 based on prevailing wind conditions. No upwind monitors available for investigation due to average wind direction.
05/01/2020	Knodlers Lane	144.1	3.7	An investigation determined HVO maximum potential contribution to be in the order of 3.7ug/m3 based on prevailing wind conditions.
05/01/2020	Maison Dieu	120.6	2.6	An investigation determined HVO maximum potential contribution to be in the order of 2.6ug/m3 based on prevailing wind conditions.
05/01/2020	Warkworth	123.7	2.2	An investigation determined HVO maximum potential contribution to be in the order of 2.2ug/m3 based on prevailing wind conditions.
06/01/2020	Jerrys Plains South	57.5	4.6	An investigation determined HVO maximum potential contribution to be in the order of 4.6ug/m3 based on prevailing wind conditions.
06/01/2020	Jerrys Plain North	57.5	0.3	An investigation determined HVO maximum potential contribution to be in the order of 0.3ug/m3 based on prevailing wind conditions. No upwind monitors available for investigation due to average wind direction.
06/01/2020	Warkworth	53.4	0	HVO was not a significant contributor given that wind direction during the 24 hour period was not within the arc of influence.

Date	Site	Total Measured Result (µg/m3)	Estimated contribution from HVO (µg/m3)	Discussion
				6 January appeared to be affected by regional bushfire smoke, the results are deemed affected by an extraordinary event.
08/01/2020	Jerrys Plains South	60.3	25.8	An investigation determined HVO maximum potential contribution to be in the order of 25.8ug/m3 based on prevailing wind conditions. 08 January appeared to be affected by regional bushfire smoke, the results are deemed affected by an extraordinary event.
08/01/2020	Jerrys Plains North	60.3	6.9	An investigation determined HVO maximum potential contribution to be in the order of 6.9ug/m3 based on prevailing wind conditions. 08 January appeared to be affected by regional bushfire smoke, the results are deemed affected by an extraordinary event.
08/01/2020	Knodlers Lane	62.8	1.0	An investigation determined HVO maximum potential contribution to be in the order of 1.0ug/m3 based on prevailing wind conditions. 08 January appeared to be affected by regional bushfire smoke, the results are deemed affected by an extraordinary event.
08/01/2020	Maison Dieu	75.6	3.4	An investigation determined HVO maximum potential contribution to be in the order of 3.4ug/m3 based on prevailing wind conditions. 08 January appeared to be affected by regional bushfire smoke, the results are deemed affected by an extraordinary event.
08/01/2020	Warkworth	83.8	6.0	An investigation determined HVO maximum potential contribution to be in the order of 6.0ug/m3 based on prevailing wind conditions. 08 January appeared to be affected by regional bushfire smoke, the results are deemed affected by an extraordinary event.
09/01/2020	Warkworth	53.9	0	HVO was not a significant contributor given that wind direction during the 24 hour period was not within the arc of influence.
10/01/2020	Jerrys Plains South	56.4	29.3	An investigation determined HVO maximum potential contribution to be in the order of 29.3ug/m3 based on prevailing wind conditions. This day appeared to be affected by regional bushfire smoke, the results are deemed affected by an extraordinary event.
10/01/2020	Jerrys Plains North	56.4	2.6	An investigation determined HVO maximum potential contribution to be in the order of 2.6ug/m3 based on prevailing wind conditions. This day appeared to be affected by regional

Date	Site	Total Measured Result (µg/m3)	Estimated contribution from HVO (µg/m3)	Discussion		
				bushfire smoke, the results are deemed affected by an extraordinary event.		
11/01/2020	Jerrys Plains South	91.9	30.8	An investigation determined HVO maximum potential contribution to be in the order of 30.8ug/m3 based on prevailing wind conditions. This day appeared to be affected by regional bushfire smoke, the results are deemed affected by an extraordinary event.		
11/01/2020	Jerrys Plains North	91.9	16.8	An investigation determined HVO maximum potential contribution to be in the order of 16.8ug/m3 based on prevailing wind conditions This day appeared to be affected by regional bushfire smoke, the results are deemed affected by an extraordinary event.		
11/01/2020	Maison Dieu	69.9	13.3	An investigation determined HVO maximum potential contribution to be in the order of 13.3ug/m3 based on prevailing wind conditions. This day appeared to be affected by regional bushfire smoke, the results are deemed affected by an extraordinary event.		
11/01/2020	Warkworth	93.1	3.8	An investigation determined HVO maximum potential contribution to be in the order of 3.8ug/m3 based on prevailing wind conditions. This day appeared to be affected by regional bushfire smoke, the results are deemed affected by an extraordinary event.		
12/01/2020	Jerrys Plains South	66.4	52.9	An investigation determined HVO maximum potential contribution to be in the order of 52.9ug/m3 based on prevailing wind conditions. 12 January appeared to be affected by regional bushfire smoke, the results are deemed affected by an extraordinary event.		
12/01/2020	Jerrys Plains North	66.4	17.1	An investigation determined HVO maximum potential contribution to be in the order of 17.1ug/m3 based on prevailing wind conditions. 12 January appeared to be affected by regional bushfire smoke, the results are deemed affected by an extraordinary event.		
12/01/2020	Maison Dieu	52.1	0	 HVO was not a significant contributor given that wind direction during the 24 hour period was not within the arc of influence. 12 January appeared to be affected by regional bushfire smoke, the results are deemed affected by an extraordinary event. 		

Date	Site	Total Measured Result (µg/m3)	Estimated contribution from HVO (µg/m3)	Discussion
12/01/2020	Warkworth	67.8	0	HVO was not a significant contributor given that wind direction during the 24 hour period was not within the arc of influence.12 January appeared to be affected by regional bushfire smoke, the results are deemed affected by an extraordinary event.
21/01/2020	Jerrys Plains South	52.1	3.5	An investigation determined HVO maximum potential contribution to be in the order of 3.5ug/m3 based on prevailing wind conditions.
21/01/2020	Jerrys Plain North	52.1	0	HVO was not a significant contributor given that wind direction during the 24 hour period was not within the arc of influence.
21/01/2020	Maison Dieu	55.7	14.5	An investigation determined HVO maximum potential contribution to be in the order of 14.5ug/m3 based on prevailing wind conditions.
23/01/2020	Knodlers Lane	85.7	17.7	An investigation determined HVO maximum potential contribution to be in the order of 17.7ug/m3 based on prevailing wind conditions.
23/01/2020	Maison Dieu	316.7	17.8	An investigation determined HVO maximum potential contribution to be in the order of 17.8ug/m3 based on prevailing wind conditions.
23/01/2020	Warkworth	70.5	2.5	An investigation determined HVO maximum potential contribution to be in the order of 2.5ug/m3 based on prevailing wind conditions.
24/01/2020	Jerrys Plain South	50.8	22.1	An investigation determined HVO maximum potential contribution to be in the order of 22.1ug/m3 based on prevailing wind conditions.
24/01/2020	Jerrys Plain North	50.8	18.9	An investigation determined HVO maximum potential contribution to be in the order of 18.9ug/m3 based on prevailing wind conditions.
24/01/2020	Maison Dieu	58.9	10.5	An investigation determined HVO maximum potential contribution to be in the order of 10.5ug/m3 based on prevailing wind conditions.
24/01/2020	Warkworth	55.2	5.3	An internal investigation determined HVO maximum potential contribution to be in the order of 5.3ug/m3 based on prevailing wind conditions.
25/01/2020	Jerrys Plains South	51.1	13.4	An internal investigation determined HVO maximum potential contribution to be in the order of 13.4ug/m3 based on prevailing wind conditions.

Date	Site	Total Measured Result (µg/m3)	Estimated contribution from HVO (µg/m3)	Discussion
25/01/2020	Jerrys Plain North	51.1	4.2	An internal investigation determined HVO maximum potential contribution to be in the order of 4.2ug/m3 based on prevailing wind conditions.
25/01/2020	Warkworth	52.8	0.3	An internal investigation determined HVO maximum potential contribution to be in the order of 0.3ug/m3 based on prevailing wind conditions.

2.3.4 Real Time Alarms for Air Quality

During January the real time monitoring system generated 377 automated air quality related alarms. 148 alarms were related to adverse weather conditions and 229 alarms relating to PM₁₀.

3.0 WATER QUALITY

HVO maintains a network of surface water and groundwater monitoring sites.

3.1 Surface Water

Surface water courses are sampled on a quarterly sampling regime. Water quality is evaluated through the parameters of pH, Electrical Conductivity (EC) and Total Suspended Solids (TSS). Results of monitoring on Site Dams and the Hunter River as well as other natural tributaries are provided on a quarterly basis, results will appear in the March 2020 report.

3.2 Site Water Use

Under water allocation licences issued by the Water NSW, HVO is permitted to extract water from the Hunter River. During the reporting period, HVO extracted 856.7 ML of water from the Hunter River.

3.3 HRSTS Discharge

HVO participates in the Hunter River Salinity Trading Scheme (HRSTS), allowing discharge from licensed discharge points Dam 11N (to Farrell's Creek), Lake James (to the Hunter River) and Parnell's Dam (to Parnell's Creek). Discharges can only take place subject to HRSTS regulations.

During the reporting period no water was discharged under the HRSTS.

3.4 Groundwater Monitoring Results

Groundwater monitoring is undertaken on a quarterly basis in accordance with the HVO Water Management Plan and Ground Water Monitoring Programme. Results of groundwater monitoring are reported quarterly and as such will be reported in the March 2020 monthly report.

4.0 BLASTING

HVO have a network of five blast monitoring units. These are located at nearby privately owned residences and function as regulatory compliance monitors. The location of these monitors can be found in Figure 10. Blasting criteria are summarised in Table 4.

Table 4: Blasting Criteria

Airblast Overpressure (dB(L))	Comments
115	5% of the total number of blasts in a 12 month period
120	0%
Ground Vibration (mm/s)	Comments
5	5% of the total number of blasts in a 12 month period
10	0%

4.1 Blast Monitoring Results

During January, there were 22 blasts fired from HVO. Figure 8 and Figure 9 show the blast monitoring results for the reporting period against the impact assessment criteria.



Figure 8: Overpressure Blast Monitoring Results – January 2020



Figure 9: Ground Vibration Blast Monitoring Results – January 2020



Figure 10: Blast Monitoring Location Plan

5.0 NOISE

Routine attended noise monitoring is carried out at defined locations around HVO as described in the HVO Noise Monitoring Programme. The purpose of the noise surveys is to quantify and describe the acoustic environment around the site and compare results with specified limits. Unattended monitoring (real time noise monitoring) also occurs at five sites surrounding HVO. The attended noise monitoring locations are displayed in Figure 11.

5.1 Attended Noise Monitoring Results

Attended monitoring was conducted at receiver locations surrounding HVO on the night of 20 January 2020 with no non-compliances recorded. Monitoring results are detailed in Table 5 to Table 9.

Location	Date and Time	Wind Speed (m/s) ¹	Stability Class ¹	Criterion dB (A)	Criterion Applies? ²	HVO South L _{Aeq} dB ^{3,4}	Exceedance ^{4,5}
Knodlers	20/01/2020	4.3	D	39	No	30	NA
Lane	21:16						
Maison Dieu	20/01/2020	3.3	D	39	No	30	NA
	21:39						
Shearers	20/01/2020	2.5	D	41	Yes	31	Nil
Lane	22:02						
Kilburnie	20/01/2020	3.2	Е	39	No	<25	NA
South	23:11						
Jerrys Plains	20/01/2020	4.0	D	35	No	IA	NA
Village	21:26						
Jerrys Plains	20/01/2020	4.5	D	35	No	IA	NA
East	21:04						
Long Point	20/01/2020	3.6	D	35	No	IA	NA
Road	21:00						
HVGC	20/01/2020	1.7	F	55	Yes	36	Nil
	23:44						

 Table 5: LAeg, 15 minute HVO South - Impact Assessment Criteria – January 2020

Notes:

1. Atmospheric data is sourced from the HVO Cheshunt (or MTW Charlton Ridge for Long Point) AWS using logged meteorological data;

Noise criteria apply for wind speeds up to 3 metres per second (at a height of 10m), or during stability class G conditions. Criterion may
or may not apply due to rounding of meteorological data values. Refer to Sections 2.3 and 3.3 for more information;

3. Site-only LAeq, 15minute attributed to HVO South Pit Area, including modifying factors if applicable;

4. Bold results in red indicate exceedance of criterion; and

5. NA in exceedance column means atmospheric conditions outside specified in approval, therefore criterion was not applicable.

Location	Date and Time	Wind Speed (m/s) ¹	Stability Class	Criterion dB (A)	Criterion Applies? ²	HVO South L _{A1, 1min} dB ^{3,4}	Exceedance ^{4,5}
Knodlers	20/01/2020	4.3	D	45	No	39	NA
Lane	21:16						
Maison Dieu	20/01/2020	3.3	D	45	No	32	NA
	21:39						
Shearers	20/01/2020	2.5	D	45	Yes	35	Nil
Lane	22:02						
Kilburnie	20/01/2020	3.2	E	45	No	<25	NA
South	23:11						
Jerrys Plains	20/01/2020	4.0	D	45	No	IA	NA
Village	21:26						
Jerrys Plains	20/01/2020	4.5	D	45	No	IA	NA
East	21:04						
Long Point	20/01/2020	3.6	D	45	No	IA	NA
Road	21:00						
HVGC	20/01/2020	1.7	F	NA	NA	47	NA
	23:44						

Table 6: LA1.	1	minute HVO	South - I	mpact /	Assessment	Criteria – .	Januarv	2020
			00000	mpase			Janaary	

Notes:

1. Atmospheric data is sourced from the HVO Cheshunt (or MTW Charlton Ridge for Long Point) AWS using logged meteorological data;

Atmospheric data is soliced from the FIVO cheshuli (of MTW chanton Huge for Long Folin) AWS using logged ineteoloogical data,
 Noise criteria apply for wind speeds up to 3 metres per second (at a height of 10m), or during stability class G conditions. Criterion may or may not apply due to rounding of meteorological data values. Refer to Sections 2.3 and 3.3 for more information;
 Site-only LA1, 1minute attributed to HVO South Pit Area;

4. Bold results in red indicate exceedance of criterion; and

5. NA in criterion column indicates no criterion is applicable at this location. NA in exceedance column means atmospheric conditions outside specified in approval, therefore criterion was not applicable.

Location	Date and Time	Wind Speed (m/s) ¹	Stability Class ¹	Criterion dB (A)	Criterion Applies? ²	HVO North L _{Aeq} dB ^{3,4}	Exceedance ^{4,5}
Knodlers Lane	20/01/2020 21:16	4.7	D	35	No	IA	NA
Maison Dieu	20/01/2020 21:39	1.7	F	35	Yes	IA	Nil
Shearers Lane	20/01/2020 22:02	1.3	F	35	Yes	IA	Nil
Kilburnie South	20/01/2020 23:11	2.1	E	39	Yes	IA	Nil
Jerrys Plains Village	20/01/2020 21:26	3.1	D	36	No	IA	NA
Jerrys Plains East	20/01/2020 21:04	5.1	D	39	No	IA	NA
Long Point Road	20/01/2020 21:00	3.6	D	35	No	IA	NA
HVGC	29/01/2020 23:44	1.9	D	NA	NA	IA	NA

Table 7: LAeq, 15 minute HVO North – Impact Assessment Criteria – January 2020

Notes:

 Atmospheric data is sourced from the HVO Corporate (or MTW Charlton Ridge for Long Point) AWS using logged meteorological data;
 Noise criteria apply under all meteorological conditions, except during periods of rain or hail, when average winds speed at microphone heights exceeds 5 metres per second, when wind speeds greater than 3 metres per second are measured at 10m above ground level, or during stability class G conditions. Criterion may or may not apply due to rounding of meteorological data values;

3. Site-only LAeq, 15minute attributed to HVO North Pit Area, including modifying factors if applicable;

4. Bold results in red indicate exceedance of criterion; and

5. NA in criterion column indicates no criterion is applicable at this location. NA in exceedance column means atmospheric conditions outside specified in approval, therefore criterion was not applicable.

•			-		•		
Location	Date and Time	Wind Speed (m/s) ¹	Stability Class ¹	Criterion dB (A)	Criterion Applies? ²	HVO North L _{Aeq} dB ^{3,4}	Exceedance ^{4,5}
Knodlers Lane	20/01/2020 21:16	4.7	D	41	No	IA	NA
Maison Dieu	20/01/2020 21:39	1.7	F	41	Yes	IA	Nil
Shearers Lane	20/01/2020 22:02	1.3	F	41	Yes	IA	Nil
Kilburnie South	20/01/2020 23:11	2.1	E	41	Yes	IA	Nil
Jerrys Plains Village	20/01/2020 21:26	3.1	D	41	No	IA	NA
Jerrys Plains East	20/01/2020 21:04	5.1	D	41	No	IA	NA
Long Point Road	20/01/2020 21:00	3.6	D	41	No	IA	NA
HVGC	20/01/2020	1.9	D	NA	NA	IA	NA

Table 8: LAeq,15 minute HVO North - Land Acquisition Criteria – January 2020

Notes:

1. Atmospheric data is sourced from the HVO Corporate (or MTW Charlton Ridge for Long Point) AWS using logged meteorological data;

2. Noise criteria apply under all meteorological conditions, except during periods of rain or hail, when average winds speed at microphone heights exceeds 5 metres per second, when wind speeds greater than 3 metres per second are measured at 10m above ground level, or during stability class G conditions. Criterion may or may not apply due to rounding of meteorological data values;

3. Site-only LAeq, 15minute attributed to HVO North Pit Area, including modifying factors if applicable;

4. Bold results in red indicate exceedance of criterion; and

5. NA in criterion column indicates no criterion is applicable at this location. NA in exceedance column means atmospheric conditions outside specified in approval, therefore criterion was not applicable.

		-				-	
Location	Date and Time	Wind Speed (m/s) ¹	Stability Class ¹	Criterion dB (A)	Criterion Applies? ²	HVO North L _{A1,} 1min dB ^{3,4}	Exceedance ^{4,5}
Knodlers Lane	20/01/2020 21:16	4.7	D	46	No	IA	NA
Maison Dieu	20/01/2020 21:39	1.7	F	46	Yes	IA	Nil
Shearers Lane	20/01/2020 22:02	1.3	F	46	Yes	IA	Nil
Kilburnie South	20/01/2020 23:11	2.1	E	46	Yes	IA	Nil
Jerrys Plains Village	20/01/2020 21:26	3.1	D	46	No	IA	NA
Jerrys Plains East	20/01/2020 21:04	5.1	D	46	No	IA	NA
Long Point Road	20/01/2020 21:00	3.6	D	46	No	IA	NA
HVGC	2 <mark>0/01/2020</mark> 23:44	1.9	D	NA	NA	38	NA

Table 0. I A1	1	Minuto UVO	North -	Impact	Accoccmont	Critoria	lanuary	2020
1 able 9. LAT,	, •	winnute HVO	North -	impact	Assessment	Uniteria –	January	2020

Notes:

1. Atmospheric data is sourced from the HVO Corporate (or MTW Charlton Ridge for Long Point) AWS using logged meteorological data; 2. Noise criteria apply under all meteorological conditions, except during periods of rain or hail, when average winds speed at microphone heights exceeds 5 metres per second, when wind speeds greater than 3 metres per second are measured at 10m above ground level, or during stability class G conditions. Criterion may or may not apply due to rounding of meteorological data values; 3. Site-only LA1, 1minute attributed to HVO North Pit Area;

4. Bold results in red indicate exceedance of criterion; and

5. NA in criterion column indicates no criterion is applicable at this location. NA in exceedance column means atmospheric conditions outside specified in approval, therefore criterion was not applicable.

5.2 NPfl Low Frequency Assessment

In accordance with the requirements of the EPA's Noise Policy for Industry (NPfI), the applicability of the low frequency modification penalty has been assessed. During January 2020 no penalties were applied. The assessment for low frequency noise is shown in Table 10.

Location	Date and Time	Measured Site Only LA _{eq} dB (Sth/Nth)	Site Only LC _{eq} dB ¹ (Sth/Nth)	Site-Only LCeq – LAeq dB ^{,2} (Sth/Nth)	Result Max exceedance of ref spectrum dB ^{,3} (Sth/Nth)	Penalty dB(A) ⁴ (Sth/Nth)
Knodlers Lane	20/01/2020 21:16	IA/30	NA/NA	NA/NA	NA/NA	NA/NA
Maison Dieu	20/01/2020 21:39	IA/30	NA/NA	NA/NA	NA/NA	NA/NA
Shearers Lane	20/01/2020 22:02	IA/31	NA/NA	NA/NA	NA/NA	NA/NA
Kilburnie South	20/01/2020 23:11	IA/<25	NA/NA	NA/NA	NA/NA	NA/NA
Jerrys Plains Village	20/01/2020 21:26	IA/IA	NA/NA	NA/NA	NA/NA	NA/NA
Jerrys Plains East	20/01/2020 21:04	IA/IA	NA/NA	NA/NA	NA/NA	NA/NA
Long Point Road	20/01/202021:00	IA/IA	NA/NA	NA/NA	NA/NA	NA/NA
HVGC	20/01/2020 23:44	IA/36	NA/NA	NA/NA	NA/NA	NA/NA

Table 10: Low Frequency Noise Assessment – January 2020

1. Where it is not possible to determine the site-only result due to the presence of other low-frequency noise sources occurring during the measurement, or where criteria were not applicable due to meteorological conditions, or where site-only contributions were more than 5 dB less than the relevant LAeq criterion this is noted as NA (not available) and no further assessment has been undertaken; 2. As per NPfl, if LCeq – LAeq \geq 15 dB further assessment of low-frequency noise required;

3. As per NPfI, compare measured spectrum against reference spectrum to determine if the low-frequency modifying factor is triggered and application of penalty is required; and

4. Bold results indicate that NPfI low-frequency modifying factor has been triggered and application of correction is required.

5.2.1 Real Time Noise Monitoring

HVO utilises a network of real-time directional noise monitors to manage noise impacts on a continuous basis. Noise alarms are in place at five monitoring locations (Knodlers Lane, Maison Dieu, Jerrys Plains, Moses Crossing, and Long Point), which alert HVO staff to elevated noise levels likely to be attributable to HVO. Noise alarms are investigated and responded to with the appropriate level of operational modification. Changes in response to a noise alarm can include replacing equipment with quieter (noise attenuated) units, changing or relocating tasks, and shutting down equipment. It should be noted that this assessment does not compliment or conflict with attended noise monitoring detailed in Section 5.1, and that real time monitoring data includes non-mine noise sources such as dogs, cows, or more commonly, road traffic.



Figure 11: Noise Monitoring Location Plan

6.0 OPERATIONAL DOWNTIME

During January, a total of 369.6 hours of equipment downtime was logged in response to real time monitoring and visual inspections for environmental reasons such as dust, noise and meteorological conditions. Operational downtime by equipment type is shown in Figure 12.



Figure 12: Operational Downtime by Equipment Type – January 2020

7.0 REHABILITATION

During January 0.32 Ha of land was released, 3.55 Ha of land was bulk shaped and 3.83 Ha of land was topsoiled. There was no land rehabilitated during January 2020. Year to date progress can be viewed in Figure 13.



Figure 13: Rehabilitation YTD – January 2020

8.0 COMPLAINTS

No complaints were received during January 2020. Details of complaints received YTD are shown in Table 11 below.

Table 11: Complaints Summary YTD 2020

Month	Noise	Dust	Blast	Lighting	Other	Total
January	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-

9.0 ENVIRONMENTAL INCIDENTS

There were 3 reportable environmental incidents in January 2020:

• 09/01/2020 – Air Quality Monitor Mis-Capture Hunter Valley Glider Club

The PM10 High Volume Air Sampler (HVAS) at the Hunter Valley Glider Club site failed to run for the full 24 hour period only recording for a total of 20 hours (less than the 23 hours recording required for a valid sample). The HVAS was investigated by HVO's environmental contractor with no faults found with the unit. Further investigation found that the mis-capture was likely due to a local power outage. DPIE were notified in writing on 13 January with an incident report submitted on 5 February.

Environmental consequence: Cat 1 Negligible

• 15/01/2020 – Air Quality Monitor Mis-Capture Warkworth

The TSP HVAS at the Warkworth site failed to run for the full 24 hour period only recording for a total of 18.6 hours (less than the 23 hours recording required for a valid sample). The HVAS was investigated by HVO's environmental contractor with no faults found with the unit. Further investigation found that the mis-capture was likely due to a local power outage. DPIE were notified in writing on 15 January with an incident report submitted on 5 February. Environmental consequence: Cat 1 Negligible

• 21/01/2020 – Air Quality Monitor Mis-Capture Warkworth

The TSP HVAS at the Warkworth site failed to run for the full 24 hour period only recording for a total of 18.6 hours (less than the 23 hours recording required for a valid sample). The HVAS was investigated by HVO's environmental contractor with no faults found with the unit. Further investigation found that the mis-capture was likely due to a local power outage. DPIE were notified in writing on 24 January with an incident report submitted on 5 February. Environmental consequence: Cat 1 Negligible

APPENDIX A: METEOROLOGICAL DATA

Table 12: Meteorological Data - HVO Corporate Meteorological Station – January 2020

Date	Air Temp Max (°C)	Air Temp Min (°C)*	Relative Humidity Max (%)	Relative Humidity Min (%)*	Solar Radiation Maximum (W/Sq. M)	Wind Dir. Avg (°)	Wind Speed Avg (m/sec)	Rainfall (mm)
1/01/2020	36.49	-	84.7	-	313.9	123.1	3.665	0.2
2/01/2020	26.58	-	100	-	503.7	111.5	4.573	0.2
3/01/2020	34.97	-	97.6	-	460.9	124.1	2.716	0
4/01/2020	41.07	-	81.7	-	415.8	244.6	3.301	0
5/01/2020	33.90	-	77.97	-	190.8	112.9	8.4	0
6/01/2020	22.89	-	97.4	-	472.2	120	4.633	1.8
7/01/2020	33.91	-	100	-	828	161.3	2.564	0
8/01/2020	35.08	-	109.9	-	720.5	140.7	3.615	4.6
9/01/2020	21.58	-	109.6	-	714.1	112	4.035	0.2
10/01/2020	36.87	-	100	-	673.6	196	2.553	0
11/01/2020	31.70	-	88.2	-	283.6	109.4	4.909	0
12/01/2020	22.92	-	99.5	-	409.8	108.8	5.453	0
13/01/2020	25.99	-	89.9	-	357.2	113.1	4.537	0
14/01/2020	28.94	-	99	-	448.2	116.2	4.169	0
15/01/2020	28.83	-	97.7	-	398.5	114.3	2.75	0
16/01/2020	22.72	-	108.2	-	672.4	189.8	1.718	9.4
17/01/2020	21.83	-	100	-	643.3	131.6	3.052	0.2
18/01/2020	18.50	-	110.2	-	672.3	113.1	2.181	12.8
19/01/2020	24.20	-	109	-	762.7	112.1	2.557	0.6
20/01/2020	31.45	-	109.5	-	890	195.3	2.332	11.6
21/01/2020	30.98	-	84.5	-	409.8	255.1	3.655	0
22/01/2020	34.78	-	100	-	617	193.4	2.013	0
23/01/2020	37.99	-	100	-	758.8	283.7	5.731	2.8
24/01/2020	30.51	-	100	-	671.5	204.5	4.351	8.2
25/01/2020	28.60	-	100	-	725.8	117.3	3.016	0
26/01/2020	33.87	-	100	-	823	225.5	2.949	0
27/01/2020	30.74	-	96.2	-	441.2	137.7	2.58	0
28/01/2020	35.66	-	99.3	-	533	226.7	3.826	2.6
29/01/2020	26.25	-	96	-	592.9	111.9	4.167	0.2
30/01/2020	33.72	-	99.8	-	574.6	121	4.595	0
31/01/2020	41.53	-	109.8	-	667	159.6	2.279	0

* Data not recorded

HUNTER VALLEY OPERATIONS

Monthly Environmental Monitoring Report

February 2020

CONTENTS

1.0 II 2.0 A	NTRO AIR QI	DUCTION	4 4
2.1	Met	eorological Monitoring	4
2.1	1.1	Rainfall	4
2.3	Sus	pended Particulates	8
2.3	3.1	HVAS PM ₁₀ Results	8
2.3	3.2	HVAS PM _{2.5} Results	9
2.3	3.3	Real Time PM10 Results	10
2.3	3.4	Real Time Alarms for Air Quality	11
3.0	WA	TER QUALITY	12
3.1	Sur	face Water	12
3.2	Site	Water Use	12
3.3	HR	STS Discharge	12
3.4	Gro	undwater Monitoring Results	12
4.0	BLA	ASTING	12
4.1	Blas	st Monitoring Results	12
5.0	NO	ISE	15
5.1	Atte	ended Noise Monitoring Results	15
5.2	NPf	I Low Frequency Assessment	20
6.0		ERATIONAL DOWNTIME	22
7.0	RE		22
8.0			.23
9.0	EN	VIRUNMENTAL INCIDENTS	.23
Appen	dix A:	Meteorological Data	24

FIGURES

Figure 1: Rainfall Summary 2020	4
Figure 2: HVO Corporate Wind Rose – February 2020	5
Figure 3: HVO Cheshunt Wind Rose – February 2020	5
Figure 4: Air Quality Monitoring Location Plan	6
Figure 5: Depositional Dust Results – February 2020	7
Figure 6: Individual PM ₁₀ Results – February 2020	8
Figure 7: Individual PM2.5 Results – February 2020	10
Figure 8: Real Time PM10 24hr average and YTD average – February 2020	11
Figure 9: Overpressure Blast Monitoring Results – February 2020	13
Figure 10: Ground Vibration Blast Monitoring Results – February 2020	13
Figure 11: Blast Monitoring Location Plan	14
Figure 12: Noise Monitoring Location Plan	21
Figure 13: Operational Downtime by Equipment Type – February 2020	22
Figure 14: Rehabilitation YTD – February 2020	22

TABLES

Table 1: Rainfall data – February 2020	4
Table 2: PM10 HVAS Investigation Results	9
Table 3: PM2.5 HVAS Investigation Results	
Table 4: Real-time PM10 TEOM Investigation Results	11
Table 5: Blasting Criteria	
Table 6: LAeq, 15 minute HVO South - Impact Assessment Criteria – February 2020	15
Table 7: LA1, 1 minute HVO South - Impact Assessment Criteria – February 2020	16
Table 8: LAeq, 15 minute HVO North – Impact Assessment Criteria – February 2020	
Table 9: LAeq,15 minute HVO North - Land Acquisition Criteria – February 2020	
Table 10: LA1, 1 Minute HVO North - Impact Assessment Criteria – February 2020	19
Table 11: Low Frequency Noise Assessment – February 2020	20
Table 12: Complaints Summary YTD 2020	23
Table 13: Meteorological Data - HVO Corporate Meteorological Station – February 2020	

1.0 INTRODUCTION

This report has been compiled to provide a monthly summary of environmental monitoring results for Hunter Valley Operations (HVO). This report includes all monitoring data collected for the period 1 February to 29 February 2020.

2.0 AIR QUALITY

2.1 Meteorological Monitoring

HVO maintains two meteorological stations; 'HVO Corporate' and 'Cheshunt' (Refer to Figure 4: Air Quality Monitoring Location Plan).

2.1.1 Rainfall

Rainfall for the period is summarised in Table 1, the 2020 trend and historical trend are shown in Figure 1. The majority of February's rainfall fell between 6 - 9 February, as shown in Table 13 (Appendix A).

Table 1: Rainfall data – February 2020



Figure 1: Rainfall Summary 2020

2.1.2 Wind Speed and Direction

Easterly and South Easterly winds were dominant during February as shown in Figure 2 (HVO Corporate) and Figure 3 (HVO Cheshunt).



Figure 2: HVO Corporate Wind Rose – February 2020



Figure 3: HVO Cheshunt Wind Rose – February 2020



Figure 4: Air Quality Monitoring Location Plan

2.2 Depositional Dust

To monitor regional air quality, HVO operates and maintains a network of nine depositional dust gauges, situated on private and mine owned land surrounding HVO.

Figure 5 displays insoluble solids results from depositional dust gauges during the reporting period compared against the annual impact assessment criteria.

During the reporting period the D118, D119, D122, DL21, DL30 and Warkworth monitors recorded a monthly result above the long term impact assessment criteria of 4.0 g/m² per month.

An assessment of HVO's contribution against the long term impact assessment criteria will be provided in the 2020 Annual Review.



Depositional Dust Records

Figure 5: Depositional Dust Results – February 2020

2.3 Suspended Particulates

Suspended particulates are measured by a network of High Volume Air Samplers (HVAS) measuring Total Suspended Particulates (TSP) and Particulate Matter <10 μ m (PM₁₀). Two HVAS's also monitor Particulate Matter <2.5 μ m (PM_{2.5}) i.e. Kilburnie South and Maison Dieu. The location of these monitors can be found in Figure 4. Each HVAS was run for 24 hours on a six-day cycle.

2.3.1 HVAS PM₁₀ Results

Figure 6 shows individual PM_{10} results at each monitoring station against the short term impact assessment criteria of 50 μ g/m³.

During the reporting period all monitors recorded an exceedance above the short term impact assessment criteria of 50 μ g/m³. Results of the investigations are presented in Table 2.



High Volume Air Sampler Records

Figure 6: Individual PM₁₀ Results – February 2020

An assessment of HVO's contribution against the long term impact assessment criteria will be provided in the 2020 Annual Review

Date	Site	Total Measured Result (µg/m3)	Estimated contribution from HVO (µg/m3)	Discussion
02/02/2020	Cheshunt East (North)	91	27.0	An investigation determined HVO North's maximum potential contribution to be in the order of 27.0µg/m3 based on prevailing wind conditions.
02/02/2020	Cheshunt East (South)	91	NA	HVO South was not a significant contributor given that wind direction during the 24 hour period was only within the arc of influence for 0.0% of the period.
02/02/2020	Glider Club	80	16.0	An investigation determined HVO South's maximum potential contribution to be in the order of 16.0µg/m3 based on prevailing wind conditions
02/02/2020	Kilburnie South (North)	64	26.1	HVO North was not a significant contributor given that wind direction during the 24 hour period was only within the arc of influence for 4.2% of the period.
02/02/2020	Kilburnie South (South)	64	NA	HVO South was not a significant contributor given that wind direction during the 24 hour period was only within the arc of influence for 3.5% of the period.
02/02/2020	Long Point	56	14.0	An investigation determined HVO South's maximum potential contribution to be in the order of 14.0µg/m3 based on prevailing wind conditions.

Table 2: PM10 HVAS Investigation Results

2.3.2 HVAS PM_{2.5} Results

 $PM_{2.5}$ monitoring commenced in 2020 at two locations i.e. Kilburnie South and Maison Dieu. **Figure** 7 shows individual $PM_{2.5}$ results at each monitoring station against the HVO South short term impact assessment criteria of 25 µg/m³.

During the reporting period the Kilburnie South monitor recorded an exceedance above the short term impact assessment criteria of 25 μ g/m³. Details of the exceedance are included in Table 3. The exceedance is currently being investigated.



Figure 7: Individual PM_{2.5} Results – February 2020

Date	Site	Total Measured Result (µg/m3)	Estimated contribution from HVO (µg/m3)	Discussion
02/02/2020	Kilburnie South	82	TBD	Investigation in progress to determine HVO's contribution.

2.3.3 Real Time PM10 Results

Hunter Valley Operations maintains a network of real time PM_{10} monitors. The real time air quality monitoring stations continuously log information and transmit data to a central database, generating alarms when particulate matter levels exceed internal trigger limits. Results from real time PM_{10} monitoring are used as a reactive measure to guide mining operations to help achieve compliance with the relevant conditions of the project approval.

Results for real time dust sampling is shown in Figure 8, including the daily 24 hour average PM10 result and the year to date 24 hour PM_{10} annual average.

During the reporting period, the Maison Dieu and Jerrys Plains monitors exceeded the daily 24 hour average PM10 result (50µg/m³). Results of the investigations are presented in Table 4.



Figure 8: Real Time PM₁₀ 24hr average and YTD average – February 2020

Date	Site	Total Measured Result (µg/m3)	Estimated contribution from HVO (µg/m3)	Discussion
01/02/2020	Jerrys Plains South TEOM	52.5	2.3	An investigation determined HVO maximum potential contribution to be in the order of 2.3ug/m ³ based on prevailing wind conditions
02/02/2020	Maison Dieu TEOM	68.2	28.2	An investigation determined HVO maximum potential contribution to be in the order of 28.2ug/m ³ based on prevailing wind conditions.

Table 4: Real-time PM10 TEOM Investigation Results

2.3.4 Real Time Alarms for Air Quality

During February the real time monitoring system generated 135 automated air quality related alarms. 47 alarms were related to adverse weather conditions and 88 alarms relating to PM₁₀.

3.0 WATER QUALITY

HVO maintains a network of surface water and groundwater monitoring sites.

3.1 Surface Water

Surface water courses are sampled on a quarterly sampling regime. Water quality is evaluated through the parameters of pH, Electrical Conductivity (EC) and Total Suspended Solids (TSS). Results of monitoring on Site Dams and the Hunter River as well as other natural tributaries are provided on a quarterly basis, results will appear in the March 2020 report.

3.2 Site Water Use

Under water allocation licences issued by the Water NSW, HVO is permitted to extract water from the Hunter River. During the reporting period, HVO extracted 233.8 ML of water from the Hunter River.

3.3 HRSTS Discharge

HVO participates in the Hunter River Salinity Trading Scheme (HRSTS), allowing discharge from licensed discharge points Dam 11N (to Farrell's Creek), Lake James (to the Hunter River) and Parnell's Dam (to Parnell's Creek). Discharges can only take place subject to HRSTS regulations.

During the reporting period no water was discharged under the HRSTS.

3.4 Groundwater Monitoring Results

Groundwater monitoring is undertaken on a quarterly basis in accordance with the HVO Water Management Plan and Ground Water Monitoring Programme. Results of groundwater monitoring are reported quarterly and as such will be reported in the March 2020 monthly report.

4.0 BLASTING

HVO have a network of five blast monitoring units. These are located at nearby privately owned residences and function as regulatory compliance monitors. The location of these monitors can be found in Figure 11. Blasting criteria are summarised in Table 5.

Table	5:	Blasting	Criteria
-------	----	----------	----------

Airblast Overpressure (dB(L))	Comments
115	5% of the total number of blasts in a 12 month period
120	0%
Ground Vibration (mm/s)	Comments
5	5% of the total number of blasts in a 12 month period
10	0%

4.1 Blast Monitoring Results

During February, there were 11 blasts fired from HVO. Figure 9 and Figure 10 show the blast monitoring results for the reporting period against the impact assessment criteria.

Blast Records



Figure 9: Overpressure Blast Monitoring Results – February 2020



Figure 10: Ground Vibration Blast Monitoring Results – February 2020



Figure 11: Blast Monitoring Location Plan

5.0 NOISE

Routine attended noise monitoring is carried out at defined locations around HVO as described in the HVO Noise Monitoring Programme. The purpose of the noise surveys is to quantify and describe the acoustic environment around the site and compare results with specified limits. Unattended monitoring (real time noise monitoring) also occurs at five sites surrounding HVO. The attended noise monitoring locations are displayed in Figure 12.

5.1 Attended Noise Monitoring Results

Attended monitoring was conducted at receiver locations surrounding HVO on the night of 19 February 2020 with no non-compliances recorded. Monitoring results are detailed in Table 6 to Table 10.

Location	Date and Time	Wind Speed (m/s) ¹	Stability Class ¹	Criterion dB (A)	Criterion Applies? ²	HVO South L _{Aeq} dB ^{3,4}	Exceedance ^{4,5}
Knodlers	19/02/2020	2.7	D	39	Yes	32	Nil
Lane	21:51						
Maison	19/02/2020	2.2	Е	39	Yes	30	Nil
Dieu	21:00						
Shearers	19/02/2020	2.3	E	41	Yes	34	Nil
Lane	22:15						
Kilburnie	19/02/2020	2.0	F	39	Yes	IA	Nil
South	23:13						
Jerrys	19/02/2020	1.6	Е	35	Yes	IA	Nil
Plains	21:31						
Village							
Jerrys	19/02/2020	2.2	Е	35	Yes	IA	Nil
Plains East	21:06						
Long Point	19/02/2020	4.7	D	35	No	IA	NA
Road	21:00						
HVGC	19/02/2020	3.3	D	55	No	IA	NA
	23:45						

 Table 6: LAeg, 15 minute
 HVO South - Impact Assessment Criteria – February 2020

Notes:

1. Atmospheric data is sourced from the HVO Cheshunt (or MTW Charlton Ridge for Long Point) AWS using logged meteorological data;

Noise criteria apply for wind speeds up to 3 metres per second (at a height of 10m), or during stability class G conditions. Criterion may
or may not apply due to rounding of meteorological data values;

3. Site-only LAeq, 15minute attributed to HVO South Pit Area, including modifying factors if applicable;

4. Bold results in red indicate exceedance of criterion, and

5. NA in exceedance column means atmospheric conditions outside specified in approval, therefore criterion was not applicable.

Location	Date and Time	Wind Speed (m/s) ¹	Stability Class	Criterion dB (A)	Criterion Applies? ²	HVO South L _{A1, 1min} dB ^{3,4}	Exceedance ^{4,5}
Knodlers	19/02/2020	2.7	D	45	Yes	33	Nil
Lane	21:51						
Maison Dieu	19/02/2020 21:00	2.2	E	45	Yes	33	Nil
Shearers Lane	19/02/2020 22:15	2.3	E	45	Yes	35	Nil
Kilburnie South	19/02/2020 23:13	2.0	F	45	Yes	IA	Nil
Jerrys Plains Village	19/02/2020 21:31	1.6	E	45	Yes	IA	Nil
Jerrys Plains East	19/02/2020 21:06	2.2	E	45	Yes	IA	Nil
Long Point Road	1 <mark>9/02/2020</mark> 21:00	4.7	D	45	No	IA	NA
HVGC	1 <u>9/02/2020</u> 23:45	3.3	D	NA	No	IA	NA

	Table 7: LA1	, 1 minute	HVO South	- Impact	Assessment	Criteria -	February	2020
--	--------------	------------	-----------	----------	------------	------------	----------	------

Notes:

1. Atmospheric data is sourced from the HVO Cheshunt (or MTW Charlton Ridge for Long Point) AWS using logged meteorological data;

2. Noise criteria apply for wind speeds up to 3 metres per second (at a height of 10m), or during stability class G conditions. Criterion may or may not apply due to rounding of meteorological data values; 3. Site-only LA1, 1minute attributed to HVO South Pit Area;

4. Bold results in red indicate exceedance of criterion; and

5. NA in criterion column indicates no criterion is applicable at this location. NA in exceedance column means atmospheric conditions outside specified in approval, therefore criterion was not applicable.

Location	Date and Time	Wind Speed (m/s) ¹	Stability Class ¹	Criterion dB (A)	Criterion Applies? ²	HVO North L _{Aeq} dB ^{3,4}	Exceedance ^{4,5}
Knodlers	19/02/2020	2.0	Е	35	Yes	IA	Nil
Lane	21:51						
Maison	19/02/2020	3.5	D	35	No	IA	NA
Dieu	21:00						
Shearers	19/02/2020	1.5	D	35	Yes	IA	Nil
Lane	22:15						
Kilburnie	19/02/2020	0.6	Е	39	Yes	IA	Nil
South	23:13						
Jerrys	19/02/2020	1.8	D	36	Yes	IA	Nil
Plains	21:31						
Village							
Jerrys	19/02/2020	3.5	D	39	No	IA	NA
Plains East	21:06						
Long Point	19/02/2020	4.7	D	35	No	IA	NA
Road	21:00						
HVGC	19/02/2020	1.6	Е	NA	Yes	IA	Nil
	23:45						

Table 8: LAeq, 15 minute HVO North – Impact Assessment Criteria – February 2020

Notes:

1. Atmospheric data is sourced from the HVO Corporate (or MTW Charlton Ridge for Long Point) AWS using logged meteorological data; 2. Noise criteria apply under all meteorological conditions, except during periods of rain or hail, when average winds speed at microphone heights exceeds 5 metres per second, when wind speeds greater than 3 metres per second are measured at 10m above ground level, or

during stability class G conditions. Criterion may or may not apply due to rounding of meteorological data values; 3. Site-only LAeq, 15minute attributed to HVO North Pit Area, including modifying factors if applicable;

4. Bold results in red indicate exceedance of criterion; and

5. NA in criterion column indicates no criterion is applicable at this location. NA in exceedance column means atmospheric conditions outside specified in approval, therefore criterion was not applicable.

-						-	
Location	Date and Time	Wind Speed (m/s) ¹	Stability Class ¹	Criterion dB (A)	Criterion Applies? ²	HVO North L _{Aeq} dB ^{3,4}	Exceedance ^{4,5}
Knodlers Lane	19/02/2020 21:51	2.0	E	41	Yes	IA	Nil
Maison Dieu	19/02/2020 21:00	3.5	D	41	No	IA	NA
Shearers Lane	19/02/2020 22:15	1.5	D	41	Yes	IA	Nil
Kilburnie South	19/02/2020 23:13	0.6	E	41	Yes	IA	Nil
Jerrys Plains Village	19/02/2020 21:31	1.8	D	41	Yes	IA	Nil
Jerrys Plains East	19/02/2020 21:06	3.5	D	41	No	IA	NA
Long Point Road	19/02/2020 21:00	4.7	D	41	No	IA	NA
HVGC	19/02/2020 23:45	1.6	E	NA	Yes	IA	Nil

Table 9: LAeq,15 minute HVO North - Land Acquisition Criteria – February 2020

Notes:

1. Atmospheric data is sourced from the HVO Corporate (or MTW Charlton Ridge for Long Point) AWS using logged meteorological data; 2. Noise criteria apply under all meteorological conditions, except during periods of rain or hail, when average winds speed at microphone

heights exceeds 5 metres per second, when wind speeds greater than 3 metres per second are measured at 10m above ground level, or during stability class G conditions. Criterion may or may not apply due to rounding of meteorological data values;

3. Site-only LAeq, 15minute attributed to HVO North Pit Area, including modifying factors if applicable;

4. Bold results in red indicate exceedance of criterion; and

5. NA in criterion column indicates no criterion is applicable at this location. NA in exceedance column means atmospheric conditions outside specified in approval, therefore criterion was not applicable.

Location	Date and Time	Wind Speed (m/s) ¹	Stability Class ¹	Criterion dB (A)	Criterion Applies? ²	HVO North L _{A1,} 1min dB ^{3,4}	Exceedance ^{4,5}
Knodlers Lane	19/02/2020 21:51	2.0	E	46	Yes	IA	Nil
Maison Dieu	19/02/2020 21:00	3.5	D	46	No	IA	NA
Shearers Lane	19/02/2020 22:15	1.5	D	46	Yes	IA	Nil
Kilburnie South	19/02/2020 23:13	0.6	E	46	Yes	IA	Nil
Jerrys Plains Village	19/02/2020 21:31	1.8	D	46	Yes	IA	Nil
Jerrys Plains East	19/02/2020 21:06	3.5	D	46	No	IA	NA
Long Point Road	19/02/2020 21:00	4.7	D	46	No	IA	NA
HVGC	19/02/2020 23:45	1.6	E	NA	Yes	IA	Nil

Table 10: LA1, 1 Minute HVO North - Impact Assessment Criteria – February 2020

Notes:

1. Atmospheric data is sourced from the HVO Corporate (or MTW Charlton Ridge for Long Point) AWS using logged meteorological data; 2. Noise criteria apply under all meteorological conditions, except during periods of rain or hail, when average winds speed at microphone heights exceeds 5 metres per second, when wind speeds greater than 3 metres per second are measured at 10m above ground level, or during stability class G conditions. Criterion may or may not apply due to rounding of meteorological data values;

3. Site-only LA1, 1minute attributed to HVO North Pit Area;

4. Bold results in red indicate exceedance of criterion; and

5. NA in criterion column indicates no criterion is applicable at this location. NA in exceedance column means atmospheric conditions outside specified in approval, therefore criterion was not applicable.

5.2 NPfl Low Frequency Assessment

In accordance with the requirements of the EPA's Noise Policy for Industry (NPfI), the applicability of the low frequency modification penalty has been assessed. During February 2020 no penalties were applied. The assessment for low frequency noise is shown in Table 11.

Location	Date and Time	Measured Site Only LA _{eq} dB (Sth/Nth)	Site Only LC _{eq} dB ¹ (Sth/Nth)	Site-Only LCeq – LAeq dB ^{,2} (Sth/Nth)	Result Max exceedance of ref spectrum dB ^{,3} (Sth/Nth)	Penalty dB(A) ⁴ (Sth/Nth)
Knodlers Lane	19/02/2020 21:51	IA/32	NA/NA	NA/NA	NA/NA	NA/NA
Maison Dieu	19/02/2020 21:00	IA/30	NA/NA	NA/NA	NA/NA	NA/NA
Shearers Lane	19/02/2020 22:15	IA/34	NA/NA	NA/NA	NA/NA	NA/NA
Kilburnie South	19/02/2020 23:13	IA/IA	NA/NA	NA/NA	NA/NA	NA/NA
Jerrys Plains Village	19/02/2020 21:31	IA/IA	NA/NA	NA/NA	NA/NA	NA/NA
Jerrys Plains East	19/02/2020 21:06	IA/IA	NA/NA	NA/NA	NA/NA	NA/NA
Long Point Road	19/02/2020 21:00	IA/IA	NA/NA	NA/NA	NA/NA	NA/NA
HVGC	19/02/2020	IA/IA	NA/NA	NA/NA	NA/NA	NA/NA

Table 11: Low Frequency Noise Assessment – February 2020

Notes:

1. Where it is not possible to determine the site-only result due to the presence of other low-frequency noise sources occurring during the measurement, or where criteria were not applicable due to meteorological conditions, or where site-only contributions were more than 5 dB less than the relevant LAeq criterion this is noted as NA (not available) and no further assessment has been undertaken;

2. As per NPfI, if LCeq – LAeq ≥ 15 dB further assessment of low-frequency noise required;

3. As per NPfI, compare measured spectrum against reference spectrum to determine if the low-frequency modifying factor is triggered and application of penalty is required; and

4. Bold results indicate that NPfI low-frequency modifying factor has been triggered and application of correction is required.

5.2.1 Real Time Noise Monitoring

HVO utilises a network of real-time directional noise monitors to manage noise impacts on a continuous basis. Noise alarms are in place at five monitoring locations (Knodlers Lane, Maison Dieu, Jerrys Plains, Moses Crossing, and Long Point), which alert HVO staff to elevated noise levels likely to be attributable to HVO. Noise alarms are investigated and responded to with the appropriate level of operational modification. Changes in response to a noise alarm can include replacing equipment with quieter (noise attenuated) units, changing or relocating tasks, and shutting down equipment. It should be noted that this assessment does not compliment or conflict with attended noise monitoring detailed in Section 5.1, and that real time monitoring data includes non-mine noise sources such as dogs, cows, or more commonly, road traffic.



Figure 12: Noise Monitoring Location Plan

6.0 OPERATIONAL DOWNTIME

During February, a total of 82.59 hours of equipment downtime was logged in response to real time monitoring and visual inspections for environmental reasons such as dust, noise and meteorological conditions. Operational downtime by equipment type is shown in Figure 13.



Figure 13: Operational Downtime by Equipment Type – February 2020

7.0 REHABILITATION

During February, 35.5 Ha of land was released, 28.5 Ha of land was bulk shaped and 9.8 Ha of land was topsoiled (ready to seed). There was no land rehabilitated during February 2020. Year to date progress can be viewed in Figure 14.



Figure 14: Rehabilitation YTD – February 2020

8.0 COMPLAINTS

No complaints were received during February 2020. Details of complaints received YTD are shown in Table 12 below.

Table 12: Complaints Summary YTD 2020

Month	Noise	Dust	Blast	Lighting	Other	Total
January	-	-	-	-	-	-
February	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-

9.0 ENVIRONMENTAL INCIDENTS

There were no reportable environmental incidents in February 2020,

APPENDIX A: METEOROLOGICAL DATA

Table 13: Meteorological Data - HVO Corporate Meteorological Station – February 2020

Date	Air Temp Max (°C)	Air Temp Min (°C)*	Relative Humidity Max (%)	Relative Humidity Min (%)*	Solar Radiation Maximum (W/Sq. M)	Wind Dir. Avg (°)	Wind Speed Avg (m/sec)	Rainfall (mm)
1/02/2020	43.6	23.7	81.7	19.65	390.9	254	3.5	0
2/02/2020	42.8	21.1	109.5	18.96	690.9	256	5.4	2.4
3/02/2020	37.4	18.9	109.5	7.702	798.4	188	4.0	0.2
4/02/2020	25.7	15.3	84.9	44.11	235.4	106	6.1	0
5/02/2020	28.6	13.1	94.2	45.01	349.5	121	3.5	0
6/02/2020	21.2	15.9	112.3	70.37	825	120	3.9	20.8
7/02/2020	22.8	15.8	113.3	82	815	123	3.9	17.2
8/02/2020	24.3	16.2	114	70.74	984	112	5.2	30.2
9/02/2020	21.8	15.5	113.8	100	592.3	115	5.0	46.4
10/02/2020	27.2	18.1	98.8	68.12	1188	111	2.6	0.2
11/02/2020	32.1	15.5	112	47.42	510.7	134	1.7	0
12/02/2020	29.9	19.8	109.1	57.51	573	124	2.8	0
13/02/2020	27.6	18.8	108.7	73.19	689.5	113	4.3	0.2
14/02/2020	29.2	16.4	93.2	42.68	1424	111	3.1	0
15/02/2020	25.0	16.9	108.6	83.4	956	109	2.8	5.2
16/02/2020	26.5	15.4	109.5	67.99	1490	113	3.5	0.2
17/02/2020	24.5	15.9	111.7	82	747.6	158	1.6	3
18/02/2020	33.7	15.1	114	50.33	1038	267	2.4	0.2
19/02/2020	28.9	17.2	100	23.2	1063	261	4.5	3.6
20/02/2020	27.6	13.0	90.4	35.36	1133	200	2.3	0
21/02/2020	26.8	16.0	89.9	53.07	1494	114	4.0	0
22/02/2020	25.7	13.8	100	59.01	1315	113	4.1	0
23/02/2020	24.7	14.7	100	53.78	1075	117	3.4	0
24/02/2020	24.3	14.6	100	66.99	1181	131	2.0	1
25/02/2020	30.7	19.0	90	41.11	682.7	130	1.6	0
26/02/2020	31.4	17.8	95.9	53.99	1315	269	3.0	0
27/02/2020	26.5	14.1	100	59.25	1415	131	2.3	0
28/02/2020	29.0	13.1	108.7	24.25	994	182	2.8	0
29/02/2020	28.4	11.6	100	49.88	1240	118	2.9	0

* Data not recorded